

© Christopher Legrand

- **Cloud-scale lightning data assimilation techniques**
- **The explicit forecast of lightning with full charging/discharge physics within the WRF-ARW model.**

Alexandre Olivier Fierro/Ted Mansell

-CIMMS/NOAA- The University of Oklahoma-

Collaborators: Don MacGorman, Conrad Ziegler, Blake Allen-
(NSSL/NOAA) and Ming Xue (CAPS)

Scientific goals:

- Total lightning is correlated to basic storm quantities often diagnosed or predicted in NWP models: graupel/ice mixing ratio/volume, w , cwc .
- Therefore, *Can total lightning data (IC+CG) be used as a tool within NWP models to provide better initial conditions for convection at cloud resolving/permitting scales ($dx \leq 3/5$ km)?*
- Improved Initial Conditions will provide a better physical background at analysis time towards improving short term high impact weather forecasts ($\sim 3h$). Lightning data can also be used to limit the presence of spurious convection (and cold pools). Key in radar data sparse area.

Methodologies


- I. Total lightning data (ENTLN) are being assimilated in **real time** in the WRF-NSSL operational testbed over CONUS at $dx=4$ km using a computationally inexpensive smooth analytical function tested at cloud resolving scales (1 km).
- II. EnKF experiments within the COMMAS lightning-cloud model are being conducted using **operators relating LMA-derived pGLM flash rate to storm quantities**.
- III. As a next step to diagnostic lightning schemes, a **full charging/discharge physics** lightning model has been successfully implemented into WRF-ARW within the NSSL 2 moment microphysics. Simulated lightning to be used in tandem with GLM obs within EnKF to improve location of storms + simultaneously eliminate spurious convection

I - Lightning nudging function

Q_v within the 0°C to -20°C layer was increased as a function of 9-km N_{flash} (X) and simulated Q_g and Q_{satwater} . Increasing Q_v at constant T increases θ_v buoyancy and ultimately generate an updraft.


$$Q_v = A Q_{\text{sat}} + B Q_{\text{sat}} \tanh(CX) [1 - \tanh(DQ_g^\alpha)]$$

-Only applied whenever simulated $\text{RH} \leq A * Q_{\text{sat}}$ and simulated $Q_g < 3 \text{ g/kg}$.
-A controls minimum RH threshold (here 81%). B and C the slope (how fast to saturate) and D how much Q_v is added at a given Q_g value.







I-Real-time WRF-NSSL 4-km runs over CONUS

Test Date: 2012-04-15



I-Real-time WRF-NSSL 4-km runs over CONUS

29-30 June Derecho event





II-EnKF Assimilation of Pseudo-GLM flash rates

Methodology:

The pseudo-GLM flash rates (derived from LMA on a $\sim 8 \times 6$ km grid) were binned in one minute intervals and assimilated using an **observation operator** that consists of a **linear relationship** between total flash rate and model quantities known to be well correlated with lightning such as:


- Graupel mass (**Q_{gmass}**),
- Graupel volume (**Q_{gvol}**),
- Cloud ice mass (**Q_{imass}**)
- Non inductive charging rate (**NICR**).

II-EnKF Assimilation of Pseudo-GLM flash rates (8 May 2003 Supercell)



II-EnKF Assimilation of Pseudo-GLM flash rates (6 June 2000, NE CO pulse storm)

Flash rate=0.017*Qgvol




III-New WRF explicit charging/discharge model

- NSSL 2 moment microphysics (q_w , q_c , q_h , q_g , q_i and q_s).
- 5 non-inductive collisional charging schemes + separation of charge during mass exchange (or phase change)
- Space charge on each hydrometeor species as scalars (sedimentation, diffusion and advection of charge).
- Explicit elliptic solve for the Electric field in 3-D (MPI elliptic/Poisson solver)-extended for terrain.
- Polarization/inductive charging.
- 2-D discharge based on Ziegler and McGorman (1994) with removal of charge being a function of hydrometeor surface area and local E.
- Lightning scheme is overall computationally efficient and inexpensive (accounts for ~ 10 -13% of CPU increase).


III-15 April 2012 (dx= 3 km) with SP98

0600Z





-WRF model able to capture evolution of this nocturnal squall line
-Good qualitative agreement between MC diagnostic schemes (F1 to F3) and explicit lightning model


III-15 April 2012 (dx= 3 km) with SP98




III-Hurricane Rita (dx= 3 km) with SP98



III-Hurricane Rita (dx= 3 km) with SP98



III-Hurricane Rita (dx= 3 km) with SP98



© Christophe Suarez

Questions?



Indo-US workshop on tropical cyclone modeling and data assimilation, Bhubaneswar India.




24 May 2011 case

Model setup and WRF lightning nudging:

- **Triple nested grid** with **D01/D02/D03=9/3/1-km** and 35 vertical levels. I.e., from GEOS-R (CPS scale) to 'convection-resolving' scales. Focus on the **3-km** output (current operational NWP model resolution).
- **No feedbacks** between grids allowing **independent comparisons** of the model output on the 3 grids.
- 12Z NAM 40-km re-analysis data used as input for IC/BC.
- D01, D02, D03 started at **12, 14, 16Z**, respectively.
- Lightning nudged via **a smooth continuous function for Q_v** within the mixed-phase region (0° to -20°C) as a **function of N_{flash}** and simulated **Q_g** (and Q_{satwater}). **This increases θ_v buoyancy and generates updrafts.**
- **Lightning nudging conducted within WSM6 microphysics.**
- Assimilation of pseudo-GLM **9-km N_{flash}** simultaneously on **all grids** between **1930-2130Z** in **10-min bins**.

WRF Domain: 24 May




Observations 1930-2130Z


2130Z=analysis time
2230Z=1h forecast

- 9-km interpolated ENTLN flash count
- Same on all 3 grids

ENTLN flashes interpolated onto the WRF local 9 km grid



Interpolated NSSL Mosaic Radar Reflectivity (in dBZ) onto D03 at Z=2km



- OBS-NSSL Mosaic NMQ interpolated onto WRF 1 km grid D02


Results (Z=2km) D02

2130Z=analysis time
2230Z=1h forecast


OBS-NSSL
MOSAIC
Interpolated
onto WRF 3-
km grid D02

CTRL


LIGHT



ENTLN (CG+IC) versus NLDN (CG-only)




ENTLN/NLDN \approx (IC+CG)/CG Ratio of 9x9-km 10-min gridded flash counts ranges from **2 to 10**. **IC+CG** also spans a **larger area**. IC also better correlated with W and hence, **timing** of the convection.



Assimilation results at analysis time.

As expected from the above preface, the use of **total** lightning data **leads to improved representation** of the convection at analysis time than with CG-only.

ENTLN network



<http://earthnetworks.com/OurNetworks/LightningNetwork.aspx>

- Measure broadband electric field, from 1 Hz to 12 MHz.
- Effective proxy for GOES-R total lightning measurements.
- Remarkable detection efficiency for CG return strokes over CONUS (98%) and IC with efficiencies > 70% over OK.
- High network density results in overall small geo-location error generally (< 300 m over OK).

Graphics courtesy of Jim Anderson, Stan Heckman and Steve Prinzivalli from EarthNetworks®-Used with Permission.